DFMI Acoustic data at Tempel 1 encounter
(From DFM1 output). Version 24/2/11
1700 good data records in original file
4h 39m 12s is nominal encounter time entered

Fn=En-$E56: 16752

DT UTC appears to be correct time interval

Data for Raw plots

Pn=Kn/Jn

Rn=In/0.00051 Sn=In/0.00021

Red means >=
Blue means uncertain

Data for Re-defined plots

ADn=AAn/Zn

Maximum/minimum likely events per channel: 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 / 100.0 100.0 50.0 100 25.0 100.0

IT	SPACECRAFT_UTC_TIME hrs	min	sec	UTC (sec)	T (sec)	DT(UTC) (sec)	DT (sec)	RAW COUNTS N1	N2	N3	N4	Comment	overf low chan	N2/N1	Max cts possible Low (N1,N3)	High (N2,N4)	Number of events n1	n2	n3	n4	Re-defined Counts N1	N2	N3	N4	Re-defined N2/N1	Max N1	Min N1	Max like N2	Min N2	Max like N3	Min N3	Max like N4	Min N4
1	4	17	16.4	15436.415	-1315.6	0		0	0	0	0	Start of data																				
920	4	32	35.4	16355.406	-396.6	1	1.0	2	0	0	0	Single isolated event		0.00		1960.78431373 4761.90476190	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1					
998	4	33	53.4	16433.406	-318.6	1	1.0	4	0	0	0	Single isolated event		0.00		1960.78431373 4761.90476190	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	1					
1125	4	36	0.4	16560.414	-191.6	1	1.0	2	0	0	0	Single isolated event		0.00		1960.78431373 4761.90476190	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1					
1239	4	37	54.3	16674.316	-77.7	0.898	0.9	47	12	0	0	Possible overlap but unlikely		0.26		1760.78431373 4276.19047619	1	1	0	0	47	12	0	0	0.2553191489	2	1	1				
1240	4	37	54.4	16674.418	-77.6	0.102	0.1	22	0	0	0	possible run-over but unlikely		0.00		200 485.714285714	1	0	0	0	22	0	0	0		1	0					
1249	4	38	1.7	16681.703	-70.3	0.297	0.3	24	1	0	0	Possible overlap but unlikely		0.04		582.352941176 1414.28571429	1	1	0	0	24	1	0	0	0.0416666667	2	1	1	1			
1250	4	38	2.4	16682.406	-69.6	0.703	0.7	24	0	0	0	Possible over-run but very unlikely		0.00		1378.43137255 3347.61904762	1	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0	2	0					
1263	4	38	15.4	16695.406	-56.6	1	1.0	1	0	0	0	Single isolated event		0.00		1960.78431373 4761.90476190	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1					
1265	4	38	17.0	16697.019	-55.0	0.601	0.6	24	1	0	0	Single isolated event		0.04		1178.43137255 2861.90476190	1	1	0	0	24	1	0	0	0.0416666667	2	1	1	1			
1267	4	38	18.4	16698.418	-53.6	1	1.0	2	0	0	0	Single isolated event		0.00		1960.78431373 4761.90476190	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1					
1269	4	38	20.2	16700.203	-51.8	0.793	0.8	20	38	0	0	N2/N1 looks unlikely. Overlap could be Ch1 and Ch2. This gives a ch1 only event of 4 counts followed by a n1=76,n2=56 event). Alternative is ch1 only overflow giving 2 events (each with ch1 and ch2 signals). Former case gives more realistic result.		1.90		1554.90196078 3776.19047619	2	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	3	1	2	1			
1269												Adopt 2 events in 1269 none in 1270.									76	58	0	0	0.7368421053							
1270	4	38	20.4	16700.406	-51.6	0.203	0.2	60	18	0	0	See above.		0.30		398.039215686 966.666666667	0	0	0	0												
1272	4	38	22.4	16702.418	-49.6	1	1.0	3	0	0	0	Single isolated event		0.00		1960.78431373 4761.90476190	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	1					
1274	4	38	24.4	16704.418	-47.6	1	1.0	18	4	0	0	Isolated event - no evidence for overflow or double counter overflow	1	0.22		1960.78431373 4761.90476190	1	1	0	0	18	4	0	0	0.2222222222	1	1	1	1			
1279	4	38	29.4	16709.418	-42.6	1	1.0	10	0	0	0	Single isolated event		0.00		1960.78431373 4761.90476190	1	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	1	1					
1282	4	38	32.4	16712.406	-39.6	1	1.0	4	0	0	0	Possible overlap but very unlikely		0.00		1960.78431373 4761.90476190	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	1					
1283	4	38	32.7	16712.703	-39.3	0.297	0.3	119	142	80	41	Very large impact with signal in all 4 channels. However, channel 3 must overflow into next time step and this makes things more complicated. 80 counts in ch3 = 40.8ms. Ch2 count of 142 is 29.8ms so this is consistent with zero overflow. Ch1 counts must be maximum of 80. This gives 39 counts in ch1 for another event. N1 looks low compared with N2 but any other solution is worse.		1.19		582.352941176 1414.28571429	2	2	1	1	39	12	0	0	0.3076923077	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1283																					81	130	98	41	1.6049382716							
1284	4	38	33.4	16713.418	-38.6	0.715	0.7	1	0	18	0	Ch3 count without ch2 means it must be an overflow from previous timestep		0.00		1372.54901961 3333.33333333	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1	0			0	0	
1285	4	38	33.7	16713.719	-38.3	0.301	0.3	23	9	5	0	Single marginal penetration? N1 counts rather low for this? May be overlap with next event, but low probability. However, N1 high in next time step so assign half counts here (keeps event numbers the same).		0.39		590.196078431 1433.33333333	1	1	1	0	44	9	5	0	0.2045454545	1	1	1	1	1	1	
1286	4	38	34.4	16714.418	-37.6	0.699	0.7	41	0	0	0	Single impact assigned (could be 2) or possible overlap from previous.		0.00		1370.58823529 3328.57142857	1	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	2	1					
1287	4	38	35.4	16715.422	-36.6	1.004	1.0	2	0	0	0	Single impact most probable		0.00		1968.62745098 4780.95238095	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1					
1289	4	38	37.4	16717.406	-34.6	0.988	1.0	15	1	0	0	Single isolated event		0.07		1960.78431373 4761.90476190	1	1	0	0	15	1	0	0	0.0666666667	1	1	1	1			
1291	4	38	39.4	16719.418	-32.6	1.012	1.0	9	0	0	0	Single isolated event		0.00		1960.78431373 4761.90476190	1	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	1	1					
1293	4	38	41.0	16721.019	-31.0	0.601	0.6	14	9	0	0	Could be overlap with next time step but would then need another ch1 only impact to satify n1 cts. Retain 2 events by redistributing counts		0.64		1178.43137255 2861.90476190	2	1	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	1			
1293																					49	18	0	0	0.3265306122							
1294	4	38	41.1	16721.121	-30.9	0.102	0.1	45	7	0	0	Could be overlap from previous time step and duration is short so probability of overlap is not negligible. Retain 2 events but assign all counts to previous step. No evidence for double counter overflow.	1	0.16		200 485.714285714	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1	0	1	0			
1295	4	38	41.4	16721.418	-30.6	0.297	0.3	26	1	0	0	Assign single event.		0.04		582.352941176 1414.28571429	1	1	0	0	26	1	0	0	0.0384615385	1	1	1	1			
1298	4	38	43.8	16723.804	-28.2	0.398	0.4	38	63	0	0	t(N2)/t(N1) high. Could be overlap with next timestep?. No double overflow on ch 2 or missed overflow on ch 1 as there is no rear signal. 26 N1 counts in overflow event.Assign next event N1 counts to this event to retain total of two events.	2	1.66		780.392156863 1895.23809524	2	1	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	1			
1298																					68	63	0	0	0.9264705882							
1299	4	38	44.4	16724.406	-27.6	0.602	0.6	43	0	0	0	See above. Could be event in this period as well.		0.00		1180.39215686 2866.66666667	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		2	0					
1301	4	38	46.4	16726.418	-25.6	1	1.0	15	1	0	0	Single event most likely.		0.07		1960.78431373 4761.90476190	1	1	0	0	15	1	0	0	0.0666666667	1	1	1	1			
1302	4	38	47.4	16727.418	-24.6	1	1.0	15	0	0	0	Single event most likely.		0.00		1960.78431373 4761.90476190	1	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	1	1					
1303	4	38	48.3	16728.32	-23.7	0.902	0.9	84	68	0	0	Consistent with single event.Overflow to next event is low probability and gives worse N2/N1 ratio.		0.81		1768.62745098 4295.23809524	1	1	0	0	84	68	0	0	0.8095238095	2	1	1	1			
1304	4	38	48.4	16728.418	-23.6	0.098	0.1	9	0	0	0	Overlap from previous possible but new event most likely. No evidence for counter double overflow.	1	0.00		192.156862745 466.666666667	1	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	1	0					
1306	4	38	50.2	16730.203	-21.8	0.797	0.8	57	21	0	0	01 event most likely.		0.37		1562.74509804 3795.23809524	1	1	0	0	57	21	0	0	0.3684210526	2	1	1	1			
1307	4	38	50.4	16730.406	-21.6	0.203	0.2	14	0	0	0	01 event most likely.		0.00		398.039215686 966.666666667	1	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	1	1					
1313	4	38	55.0	16735.019	-17.0	0.601	0.6	39	13	0	0	Single event most likely. Overlap possible (but must still be 2 events total)		0.33		1178.43137255 2861.90476190	1	1	0	0	39	13	0	0	0.3333333333	1	1	1	1			
1314	4	38	55.4	16735.406	-16.6	0.387	0.4	40	0	0	0	Single event most likely. High N1 counts may hide another event.		0.00		784.313725490 1904.76190476	1	0	0	0	40	0	0	0	0	2	1					
1315	4	38	56.4	16736.406	-15.6	1	1.0	3	0	0	0	Single event most likely		0.00		1960.78431373 4761.90476190	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	1					
1316	4	38	56.9	16736.906	-15.1	0.5	0.5	3	0	0	0	Single event most likely		0.00		980.392156863 2380.95238095	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	1					
1317	4	38	57.1	16737.105	-14.9	0.199	0.2	80	37	0	0	Single event reasonable - could mask second.		0.46		390.196078431 947.619047619	1	1	0	0	80	37	0	0	0.4625	2	1	1	1			
1319	4	38	58.4	16738.418	-13.6	1	1.0	47	36	0	0	Most likely single event based on duration but N2/N1 low followed by high N1 count in next interval. Assume overlap and assign extra 26 N1 counts. Retains total number of events.	1	0.77		1960.78431373 4761.90476190	2	1	0	0	32	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	1			
1319																					67	36	0	0	0.5373134328							
1320	4	38	58.8	16738.82	-13.2	0.402	0.4	52	0	0	0	High N1 count. Could be overflow from previous event or more than 1 events here. Assign 2 impacts in 1319.		0.00		788.235294118 1914.28571429	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1	0					
1322	4	39	0.2	16740.219	-11.8	0.801	0.8	48	7	0	0	Single event most likely		0.15		1570.58823529 3814.28571429	1	1	0	0	48	7	0	0	0.1458333333	2	1	1	1			
1323	4	39	0.4	16740.418	-11.6	0.199	0.2	14	0	0	0	Single event most likely		0.00		390.196078431 947.619047619	1	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	1	1					
1324	4	39	0.6	16740.621	-11.4	0.203	0.2	71	48	0	0	Single event most likely No evidence for double counter overflow (no N3 ch)	2	0.68		398.039215686 966.666666667	1	1	0	0	71	48	0	0	0.6760563380	2	1	1	1			
1325	4	39	1.1	16741.121	-10.9	0.5	0.5	100	56	77	69	Large event. Ch 3 and 4 must overlap with next event. However, N3 and N4 don't give coincident signal. N4>N2 acceptable since N2 in second period is zero. Requires minimum of two penetrations in 1325 followed by 0 or more single ch 1 events in 1326. Assuming all ch4 counts in 1 event gives 72,22,49,0 and =109,34,92,71. First event has high N3 count with no N4. Possible that there are two ch4 events, which would require 2 more ch1 only events to make reasonable N2/N1 ratios. This solution looks more realistic.	1	0.56		980.392156863 2380.95238095	3	2	2	2	15	0	0	0	0	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	1
1325												See above									64	26	56	19	0.40625							
1325												See above									72	30	85	52	0.4166666667							
1326	4	39	1.4	16741.406	-10.6	0.285	0.3	81	0	64	2	See above. Assign further ch1 only event in this period.		0.00		588.235294118 1428.57142857	1	0	0	0	36	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1327	4	39	1.5	16741.504	-10.5	0.098	0.1	10	4	6	0	N1 counts look low for a penetration. It is possible that N1 counts alone overflow (about 5% probability only) but there must be another impact in next time step anyway. Assign half N1 counts from next timestep.		0.40		192.156862745 466.666666667	1	1	1	0	27	4	6	0	0.1481481481	1	1	1	1	1	1	
1328	4	39	1.6	16741.605	-10.4	0.101	0.1	43	1	2	0	Can't be overlap with next event since N3 starts before N2 and N1 contribution would only be 1 count anyway. Assume single event with N1 counts spread with previous time step.		0.02		198.039215686 480.952380952	1	1	1	0	26	1	2	0	0.0384615385	1	1	1	1	1	1	
1329	4	39	1.7	16741.703	-10.3	0.098	0.1	46	46	11	0	Possible overflow (ch 1 only) to next frame (based on N2/N1 count and high N1 count in next interval). Assign 40 counts from next interval to this event.	3	1.00		192.156862745 466.666666667	1	1	1	0	86	46	11	0	0.5348837209	2	1	1	1	1		
1330	4	39	2.4	16742.406	-9.6	0.703	0.7	70	0	0	0	Assign 1 event of 30 counts, with 40 counts assigned to previous event.	1	0.00		1378.43137255 3347.61904762	1	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	2	1					
1331	4	39	2.5	16742.504	-9.5	0.098	0.1	82	67	1	0	Cannot be overflow from previous period. N1 counts cover 40% of total time interval, but no other evidence for overflow to best period. Overflow to next one requires two events. And gives less realistic N2/N1		0.82		192.156862745 466.666666667	1	1	1	0	82	67	1	0	0.8170731707	1	1	1	1	1	1	
1332	4	39	3.4	16743.418	-8.6	0.914	0.9	23	0	0	0	Some or all counts might have come from previous period but keep as separate event.		0.00		1764.70588235 4285.71428571	1	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	1	0					
1336	4	39	6.3	16746.32	-5.7	0.902	0.9	57	106	7	0	High N2/N1 ratio implies possible overflow into next timestep (especially with high N1 count in next time interval). If ch2 overflows as well then there must be an additional ch1 event in this time step (14cts) and N1 counts are then high even requiring one or two more extra ch1 events in next time interval. Assume no ch2 overflow. This means sensible counts are obtained for just two events.	2	1.86		1768.62745098 4295.23809524	1	1	1	0	112	106	7	0	0.9464285714	2	1	1	1	1	1	
1337	4	39	6.4	16746.422	-5.6	0.102	0.1	105	8	0	0	N1 counts fill 50% of time interval and evidence for overlap from previous event. Assign 55 counts to previous event. Could be another event in this time step.	1	0.08		200 485.714285714	1	1	0	0	50	8	0	0	0.16	2	0	1	0			
1338	4	39	7.4	16747.406	-4.6	0.984	1.0	12	0	0	0	Could be overflow from previous step but more likely single event.		0.00		1960.78431373 4761.90476190	1	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	1	0					
1340	4	39	9.0	16749.004	-3.0	0.598	0.6	36	7	2	0	Could be overflow to next interval but would require additional ch1 event. More likely to be isolated event.		0.19		1172.54901961 2847.61904762	1	1	1	0	36	7	2	0	0.1944444444	1	1	1	1	1	1	
1341	4	39	9.4	16749.422	-2.6	0.418	0.4	70	33	0	0	Same as above.		0.47		784.313725490 1904.76190476	1	1	0	0	70	33	0	0	0.4714285714	1	1	1	1			
1342	4	39	10.2	16750.218	-1.8	0.796	0.8	42	5	0	0	See above		0.12		1560.78431373 3790.47619048	1	1	0	0	42	5	0	0	0.1190476190	1	1	1	1			
1344	4	39	10.8	16750.82	-1.2	0.398	0.4	91	159	56	38	Possible overflow into next timestep. If ch2 overflows then it requires another ch1 only event of 25 cts. Also ch3 event starts 5ms (9cts) too late if it is also going to overflow. Double counter overflow in ch1 gives unreasonably long t(n1)-t(n2) as well as unrepresentative N(2)/N(1) vs N(2). Best solution is for a channel one only overflow. Assign 20 counts to this impact.	1,2	1.75		780.392156863 1895.23809524	1	1	1	1	111	159	56	38	1.4324324324	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1
1345	4	39	11.0	16751.019	-1.0	0.199	0.2	135	65	23	0	See above. Transfer of 20 N1 counts to previous event. Assign one more ch only event.		0.48		390.196078431 947.619047619	1	1	1	0	90	65	23	0	0.6770833333	2	1	1	1	1	1	
1345																					20	0	0	0	0							
1346	4	39	11.4	16751.422	-0.6	0.403	0.4	26	0	0	0	Single event most likely		0.00		790.196078431 1919.04761905	1	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	1	1					
1347	4	39	11.6	16751.621	-0.4	0.199	0.2	89	16	0	0	Separate events most likely. However, N1 counts very high. No benefit in assigning overflow to next period due to high N1 counts there too. Assign two events.	1	0.18		390.196078431 947.619047619	2	1	0	0	59	16	0	0	0.2711864407	3	1	1	1			
1347																					30	0	0	0	0							
1348	4	39	11.7	16751.718	-0.3	0.097	0.1	102	0	0	0	N1 Counts too high for single event. Overflows possible but no evidence from adjacent times.Assign 3 events		0.00		190.196078431 461.904761905	3	0	0	0	40	0	0	0	0	4	2					
1348												See above									32	0	0	0	0							
1348												See above									30	0	0	0	0							
1349	4	39	11.8	16751.82	-0.2	0.102	0.1	30	0	0	0	Single event most likely		0.00		200 485.714285714	1	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	1	1					
1350	4	39	12.4	16752.422	0.4	0.602	0.6	36	1	0	0	Single event most likely		0.03		1180.39215686 2866.66666667	1	1	0	0	36	1	0	0	0.0277777778	1	1	1	1			
1351	4	39	12.5	16752.519	0.5	0.097	0.1	51	64	0	0	N1 counts too low. Implies overflow to next interval (overflow from previous interval doesn't help). Could be ch1 and ch2 overflow but this would require additional N1=23 count event. Assign Ch1 only overflow with small event in next timestep interval	1	1.25		190.196078431 461.904761905	2	1	0	0	71	64	0	0	0.9014084507	2	2	1	1			
1352	4	39	13.0	16753.019	1.0	0.5	0.5	42	4	0	0	See above.		0.10		980.392156863 2380.95238095	0	0			22	4	0	0	0.1818181818							
1355	4	39	13.6	16753.605	1.6	0.199	0.2	3	0	0	0	Single isolatd event		0.00		390.196078431 947.619047619	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	1					
1357	4	39	14.1	16754.105	2.1	0.101	0.1	20	0	0	0	Single event most likely		0.00		198.039215686 480.952380952	1	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	1	1					
1358	4	39	14.3	16754.304	2.3	0.199	0.2	15	11	0	0	Single event most likely. But N1 very low for non-zero n2 count. Some or all counts from next event may be included. Assign 31 counts to this event and leave nominal 1 count to record second impact		0.73		390.196078431 947.619047619	1	1	0	0	46	11	0	0	0.2391304348	1	1	1	1			
1359	4	39	14.4	16754.406	2.4	0.102	0.1	32	0	0	0	Single event, overlap from previous event or both?		0.00		200 485.714285714	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0					
1361	4	39	15.0	16755.004	3.0	0.399	0.4	24	0	0	0	Single isolated event		0.00		782.352941176 1900	1	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0	1	1					
1366	4	39	15.9	16755.922	3.9	0.5	0.5	17	0	0	0	Single event most likley		0.00		980.392156863 2380.95238095	1	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0							
1367	4	39	16.1	16756.121	4.1	0.199	0.2	93	53	12	0	Could be overlap with next period but would require at least two events in total. Isolated event has higher probability.	1	0.57		390.196078431 947.619047619	1	1	1	0	93	53	12	0	0.5698924731	2	1	1	1	1	1	
1368	4	39	16.4	16756.422	4.4	0.301	0.3	52	9	0	0	Single event most likley		0.17		590.196078431 1433.33333333	1	1	0	0	52	9	0	0	0.1730769231	2	1	1	1			
1370	4	39	17.0	16757.019	5.0	0.301	0.3	32	0	0	0	Single event most likley		0.00		590.196078431 1433.33333333	1	0	0	0	32	0	0	0	0	2	1					
1371	4	39	17.4	16757.422	5.4	0.403	0.4	14	0	0	0	Single event most likley		0.00		790.196078431 1919.04761905	1	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	1	1					
1372	4	39	17.5	16757.519	5.5	0.097	0.1	17	0	0	0	Single event most likley		0.00		190.196078431 461.904761905	1	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	1	1					
1374	4	39	17.8	16757.82	5.8	0.199	0.2	31	7	1	0	Single event most likley		0.23		390.196078431 947.619047619	1	1	1	0	31	7	1	0	0.2258064516	1	1	1	1	1	1	
1375	4	39	18.4	16758.422	6.4	0.602	0.6	25	0	0	0	Single event most likley		0.00		1180.39215686 2866.66666667	1	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	1	1					
1376	4	39	18.8	16758.82	6.8	0.398	0.4	74	11	0	0	Single event possible but N1 looks high compared with N2. Could be overlap with next time interval in ch1 and ch 2. If so then only 5 N1 counts would contribute, leaving an unlikely ch1 only count of 69 (implying two furtter ch1 only events) as well as even greater problems with N2/N1 ratios in next two periods (see below). Ch1 only overflow is even worse. Assign two events in this timestep.	1	0.15		780.392156863 1895.23809524	2	1	0	0	54	11	0	0	0.2037037037	2	1	1	1			
1376																					20	0	0	0	0							
1377	4	39	18.9	16758.922	6.9	0.102	0.1	28	21	4	0	Somewhat large t(N2)/t(n1). Cannot solve with single event and overflow from previous timestep due to N3 count. Overflow into next timestep is possible but cannot be just N1 since 1378 event would have unlikely N2/N1ratio. If overlap is in n2 counts as well then there must be another N1 event with 19 of the 28 counts. This would leave second event with n1=67, n2=70 n3 =4 which appears more likely than 2 separate events in 0.1 s intervals, both with low N2/N1 ratios.	2	0.75		200 485.714285714	2	1	1	0	19	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	1	1	1	
1377																					67	70	4	0	1.0447761194							
1378	4	39	19.0	16759.019	7.0	0.097	0.1	58	49	0	0	No event. See above		0.84		190.196078431 461.904761905	0	0	0	0						1	1	1	1			
1379	4	39	19.4	16759.402	7.4	0.383	0.4	1	0	0	0	Single event most likely		0.00		784.313725490 1904.76190476	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1					
1380	4	39	19.7	16759.703	7.7	0.301	0.3	1	0	0	0	Single event most likely		0.00		590.196078431 1433.33333333	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1					
1381	4